

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,814	RAMOS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

 (2) Adriana Burgy.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 14, 18, 23, 24, 32 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Burgy to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1)in claims 1, 23, 24, 32 and 34, in the definition of R3, at the end of the definition insert -atoms- and 2)claims 9, 14 and 18, line 2 of each, delete "compound" and insert -radical-. It was agreed that claims 1, 9, 14, 18, 23, 24, 32 and 34 would be amended as discussed supra by examiner's amendment.